



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,591	05/19/2005	Jan-Willem Van De Waerd	US02 0465 US	7635

65913 7590 03/26/2009  
NXP, B.V.  
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
M/S41-SJ  
1109 MCKAY DRIVE  
SAN JOSE, CA 95131

EXAMINER
----------

CYGIEL, GARY W

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2188

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

03/26/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/535,591	<b>Applicant(s)</b> VAN DE WAERDT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> GARY W. CYGIEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2188	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 February 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### **Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 19 February 2009 has been entered.

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-2, 4-8, 10-14, 16-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sherwood et al. (NPL:Predictor-Directed Stream Buffers) (hereinafter referred to as Sherwood).

Consider **Claim 1**,

Sherwood teaches a method of data retrieval comprising the steps of:

providing a first memory circuit (Sherwood:Fig 3);

Art Unit: 2188

providing a stride prediction table (SPT) that is indexed with cache line miss information (Sherwood:Fig 3, Sec 4.2, load-PC (for a missed load) is used to index into the stride table. Page 9:Left Column:Lines 1-3,only cache block addresses are used.);

providing cache memory circuit (Sherwood:Fig 3);

executing instructions for accessing data within the first memory (Instructions must be executed to access data within the first memory.);

detecting a cache miss (Sherwood:Sec 4.3 ¶3, detects two cache misses in a row); and

only allowing accesses to the SPT in response to a detection of a cache miss (Sherwood:Sec 4.2/4.3; see also Response to Arguments below, section **[A]**.);and

only allowing updates to the SPT in response to the detection of a cache miss. (Sherwood:Sec 4.2/4.3).

Consider **Claims 2 and 16**,

Sherwood further teaches wherein the cache memory circuit is a stream buffer (Sherwood:Fig 3).

Consider **Claim 4**,

Sherwood further teaches wherein the cache memory circuit and the SPT are within a same physical memory space (Sherwood:Fig 3).

Consider **Claim 5**,

Sherwood further teaches wherein the first memory is an external memory circuit separate from a processor executing the instructions (Sherwood:Fig 3,data line from/to next lower level of memory.).

Consider **Claims 6 and 7**,

Sherwood further teaches wherein the step of detecting a cache miss includes the steps of:

- determining whether an instruction to be executed by the processor is a memory access instruction;

- when the instruction is a memory access instruction, determining whether data at a memory location of the memory access instruction is present within the cache; and,

- when the data is other than present within the cache, detecting a cache miss, and accessing and updating the SPT only when the cache miss has occurred (Sherwood:Sec 4.3 ¶3, a cache miss occurs when a requested memory line is not in the cache, therefore requiring the first two limitations of these claims.).

Consider **Claim 8**,

Art Unit: 2188

Sherwood further teaches wherein the step of allowing access provides a step of filtering that prevents unnecessary access and updates to entries within the SPT (Sherwood:Sec 4.3).

Consider **Claim 10**,

Sherwood further teaches wherein the SPT comprises an address field, and where a size of the address field is less than an address space used to index the SPT (Sherwood:Sec 4.2 ¶3, SPT stores the last address for the load. Page 9:Left Column:Lines 1-3,only cache block addresses are used and *not* the full address.).

Consider **Claim 11**,

Sherwood teaches an apparatus comprising:

a stride prediction table (SPT) that is indexed with cache line miss information (Sherwood:Fig 3, Sec 4.2, load-PC (for a missed load) is used to index into the stride table. Page 9:Left Column:Lines 1-3,only cache block addresses are used.); and

a filter circuit for use with the SPT, the filter circuit preventing both accesses and updates to the SPT unless a cache miss is detected (Sherwood:Sec 4.2/4.3; see also Response to Arguments below, section **[A]**.).

Consider **Claim 12**,

Art Unit: 2188

Sherwood further teaches a memory circuit, the memory circuit for storing the SPT therein (Sherwood:Fig 3).

Consider **Claim 13**,

Sherwood further teaches a cache memory, the cache memory residing within the memory circuit (Sherwood:Fig 3).

Consider **Claim 14**,

Sherwood further teaches wherein the memory circuit is a single ported memory circuit (Sherwood:Fig 3, Page 5, paragraph labeled prediction, only one request can be processed at a time.)

Consider **Claim 17**,

Sherwood teaches a method of data retrieval comprising the steps of:

- providing a first memory circuit (Sherwood:Fig 3);
- providing a stride prediction table (SPT) that is indexed with cache line miss information (Sherwood:Fig 3, Sec 4.2, load-PC (for a missed load) is used to index into the stride table. Page 9:Left Column:Lines 1-3,only cache block addresses are used.);
- providing cache memory circuit (Sherwood:Fig 3);
- executing instructions for accessing data within the first memory (Instructions must be executed to access data within the first memory.);

Art Unit: 2188

detecting a cache miss (Sherwood:Sec 4.3 ¶3, detects two cache misses in a row); and

restricting accesses to the SPT in response to the detection of a cache miss (Sherwood:Sec 4.2/4.3; see also Response to Arguments below, section [A].).

Consider **Claim 18**,

Sherwood further teaches wherein the step of restricting provides a step of filtering that prevents unnecessary access and updates to entries within the SPT (Sherwood:Sec 4.2/4.3).

Consider **Claim 20**,

Sherwood further teaches wherein the SPT comprises an address field, and where a size of the address field is less than an address space used to index the SPT (Sherwood:Sec 4.2 ¶3, SPT stores the last address for the load. Page 9:Left Column:Lines 1-3,only cache block addresses are used and *not* the full address.).

### **Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which



Art Unit: 2188

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

5. Claims 3, 9, 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherwood et al. (NPL:Predictor-Directed Stream Buffers) (hereinafter referred to as Sherwood) in view of Handy (NPL: the Cache Memory book) (hereinafter referred to as Handy).

Consider **Claim 3, 9, 15 and 19**,

Sherwood teaches a method according to claim 1 or 13 respectively, but does not specifically disclose all the details regarding the circuits construction.

Handy does teach these limitations such as:

wherein the cache memory circuit is a random access cache memory (Handy:Page 28, SRAM cell used in internal cache.).

wherein the cache memory circuit is integral with the processor executing the instructions (Handy:Page 28, CPU on same chip as on-chip cache.).

Art Unit: 2188

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the circuit construction concepts as taught by Handy in the system of Sherwood because they are notoriously well known concepts in the art. The use of these methods constitutes only design choice and has no novelty in the art.

### **Response to Arguments**

2. Applicant's arguments filed 19 February 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

**[A]** Re: Accessing and updating in response to a miss.

The applicant argues that the SPT is accessed every cycle, but relies on a portion of Sherwood that describes a related, but different, system compared to the Stride Filtered Markov (SFM) predictor relied upon by the examiner. The SFM predictor (Sherwood:Fig 3:Sec 4.2/4.3) is different than the predictor directed stream buffer implementation (Sherwood:Fig 2:Sec 4.1). Sherwood further describes that the SFM predictor provides different and uniformly better results than other address predictors (Sherwood:Page 5:Sec 4.1, under heading "lookup.").

Sherwood explicitly teaches that the SPT is only updated upon a cache miss. However, the disclosure regarding the SFM predictor teaches that at most the Markov Table is accessed outside of a cache miss, but nowhere teaches any sort of access to the SPT outside of a cache miss. The SPT is used to predict a stride which is then provided to a stream buffer upon allocation. The only time

Art Unit: 2188

this occurs is upon a cache miss (2 cache misses with the filter). The applicant's response should ideally include specific reasons why they believe the SPT in the SFM predictor is accessed at any time outside of a cache miss.

**[B]** Re: Address field smaller than an address space.

The claim limitation describes that an address field (which generally stores a single address) is smaller than an address space (A gigantic number of addresses). Although the claim is broad, the examiner has cited the portions of Sherwood that describe storing cache block address instead of full addresses.

**[C]** Re: Claims 3, 9 and 15.

The applicant states that the rejections are improper for failing to show correspondence for each limitation. The examiner has reviewed the rejection and the citations to the reference are very clear. The applicant is invited to contact the examiner in the event the correspondence remains unclear. Additionally, any person of even basic skill in the art would recognize that both using RAM as a cache memory and having a cache integral with a processor is notoriously well-known and common at the time of the invention.

### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GARY W. CYGIEL whose telephone number is (571)270-1170. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays and Thursdays 12:00pm-2:00pm EST.

Art Unit: 2188

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung Sough can be reached on (571)272-6799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hyung S. Sough/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2188  
03/19/09

/Gary W Cygiel/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2188

/G. W. C./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2188